

TURKEY RESPONSE

INTOSAI WORKING GROUP ON THE AUDIT OF PRIVATISATION

THE ROLE OF THE STATE AS MINORITY SHAREHOLDER IN PRIVATE BUSINESSES

QUESTIONNAIRE

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this questionnaire is to gather information from Members of the Group about the issues the state needs to address where it is a minority shareholder in private businesses, the challenges faced by the SAI in examining how the state addresses these responsibilities, and the impact of the SAI's work.

BACKGROUND

The topic 'The Role and Responsibility of the State as Minority Shareholder in Privatised Businesses' was discussed at the sixth meeting of the INTOSAI Working Group on the Audit of Privatisation (Warsaw, October 1999).

The discussion examined both the role of the state and key issues that SAIs are likely to have to address in examining how effectively that role is carried out. It was agreed that this subject merited further examination by the group.

Issues Affecting the State

- The state needs to protect the taxpayer's interests, even though it is not in control of the business.
- The state will have objectives in being a minority shareholder, and it needs to ensure these objectives can be met.
- The state may have taken special powers (e.g. a golden share). Such powers are however usually not general, but closely defined e.g. the right to approve changes in ownership of the business. As regards the general running of the business, such special powers are unlikely to afford the state any greater protection than that enjoyed by any other minority shareholder.
- Unlike a private minority shareholder, the state may not in practice be able to dispose of its investment if it is dissatisfied with the way the business is being run by those in control. For example, where the state's minority shareholding is retained for strategic economic reasons the state may be in double jeopardy: the actions of others could create additional obligations for the state, going beyond its original investments and the concept of limited liability, resulting in the moral hazard of implicit guarantees.

TURKEY RESPONSE

- The state may not have access to sufficient market-focused skills necessary to understand the nature of the business, and the risks to which the state is exposed. And even if it is able to monitor the business's performance intelligently, it may not be able to influence those in control.
- Has the state been able, in practice, to protect its interests as a minority shareholder?

Issues Affecting the SAI

- The SAI is likely to be the auditor of the government body which holds the minority stake.
- Does the SAI also have audit access to the private business for the purpose of monitoring how the government body responsible for the state's shareholding is exercising its rights and responsibilities?
- If the SAI does not have audit access to the business, how can it effectively examine these arrangements?
- Does the SAI have access to the market-focussed skills necessary to check whether the state is doing all it can to protect the taxpayer's interests in relation to the minority shareholdings?
- Has the work of the SAI assisted the state in protecting the taxpayer's interests?

The questionnaire is divided into two parts

Part 1: The Role of the State

Part 2: The Role of the SAI

TURKEY RESPONSE

Part 1: The Role of the State

General Information

- 1 Is the state a minority shareholder in any private business established in your country?
- Yes (go to Q2)
No (no further responses necessary)
- 2 What proportion of your country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is represented by such businesses?
- 0 - 5 per cent
over 5 per cent
- 3 How are the state's minority shareholdings defined?
- percentage of shares owned (all types of share)
percentage of voting rights owned
other (please detail below)
- 4 In approximately how many companies does the state have minority shareholdings (including minority holdings which enjoy special status, e.g. with a 'golden share')?
- About 150
- 5 Are the minority shares held in (tick all that apply):
- stock exchange companies?
unquoted companies?
 other e.g. partnerships (please detail below)

State has no direct shares in private companies. Instead a principal or an adjacent company of the State has. If the shares in a quoted compares then, the shares are held by a SEC or Privatisation Authority. In some cases shares would be only records in the bank, no shares issued at all. In others the related principal company holds.

TURKEY RESPONSE

6 Does the state maintain a register listing the details of all the state holdings in privatised businesses?

Yes

No

Rights as a minority shareholder

7 What are the rights of a general minority shareholder under the laws of your country? (Tick all that apply, but do not include any rights which are specific to the state, as special state rights are addressed in subsequent questions.)

powers to veto decisions (e.g. a 75% (or 2/3) majority may be needed to pass certain resolutions, so a minority shareholding greater than 25% (or 1/3) can be used to block these resolutions)

any powers to require that the company should be wound-up (likely to need support from a majority of the shareholders e.g. 2/3)

any powers to block a share redemption by the majority shareholder (such blockage might need only minority support e.g. 1/10)

powers to request certain types of shareholder meeting
other (please detail below)

8 Does the state have the same rights for its minority shareholdings as those detailed in Question 7 above?

Yes, it has the same rights (go to Q10)

No, it has fewer rights (please detail below and go to Q10)

Only for every 15% share, a representative by the related PR company must be appointed to the BODs.

No, it has more rights (please detail below and go to Q9)

9 If the state has more rights, have these extra rights ever been exercised?

No

Yes (please detail below)

TURKEY RESPONSE

Objectives of the minority shareholding(s)

10 Has the state become a minority shareholder as a part of a process of (tick all that apply):

- acquiring private sector businesses or shareholdings in them?
- privatising formerly state owned businesses?
- setting up joint ventures with private businesses?
- other (please detail below)?

Encouraging private sector to invest in strategic fields

11 Has the state set any specific objectives with regard to its minority shareholdings e.g. to (tick all that apply):

- protect the taxpayer's investments?
- ensure the business is soundly run on a commercial basis?
- pursue strategic economic goals?
- promote growth in employment?
- increase international investment?
- obtain taxes and dividends from the efficiency of the private sector?
- promote shareholding by the general public?
- raise money for the state through the sale of shares?
- other (please detail below)?

12 Has the state sold any of its minority shareholdings?

- Yes
- No

13 Is the state likely to sell its remaining minority shareholdings?

- Yes, all of them
- Yes, some of them
- No
- Don't know

TURKEY RESPONSE

Responsibility for the minority shareholding(s)

14 Who is responsible for looking after the state's rights as minority shareholder?

government ministry

privatisation agency

other (please detail below)

Principal Company

15 Does the responsible body (Q14) have representation on the Boards of the private companies?

Yes, on all

Yes, on some

No

Any additional comments?

Only principal and/or adjacent companies may have a representative for every 15% of the shares, not for less

16 Does this body have access to the expertise it needs to monitor the shareholdings and the achievement of the state's objectives?

Yes

No

Any additional comments?

There is no definite information on how this representation business is fulfilled. So the answer is "No".

TURKEY RESPONSE

17 Has the state introduced any measures in relation to its exposure as a minority shareholder, to reduce the moral hazard it faces (implicit guarantees or additional obligations for the state going beyond its original investment and the concept of limited liability) e.g. distancing the state by use of a holding company?

No

Yes (please detail below)

State has no direct participation in private companies. A principal/holding/adjacent company is employed for this purpose.

Any additional comments?

So these companies face the moral hazard before the state itself.

Competition Issues

18 Are there any indications that minority shareholdings by the state in privatised companies are distorting competition?

Yes (go to Q19)

No (go to Q20)

TURKEY RESPONSE

Part 2: The Role of the SAI

This part of the questionnaire is in three sections:

Section A: the SAI's audit access to the government body which is responsible for the state's minority shareholding, and to the business in which the shares are held

Section B: the issues addressed by the SAI in examining the government body and/or the business

Section C: the outcome of the SAI's work

Section A - Audit Access

22 Is the shareholder (ministry, agency etc) audited or reviewed by the SAI as regards this shareholder role?

annually

occasionally

never

31 Where the SAI does not have audit access rights to the private business, does the SAI nevertheless attempt to establish how far the state has been able to protect/promote its interests as minority shareholder?

No

Yes (please detail below)