Trends in total UK Official Development Assistance and the Department for International Development’s expenditure
Our vision is to help the nation spend wisely.
Our public audit perspective helps Parliament hold
government to account and improve public services.

The National Audit Office scrutinises public spending for Parliament and is independent of government. The Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG), Sir Amyas Morse KCB, is an Officer of the House of Commons and leads the NAO, which employs some 810 people. The C&AG certifies the accounts of all government departments and many other public sector bodies. He has statutory authority to examine and report to Parliament on whether departments and the bodies they fund have used their resources efficiently, effectively, and with economy. Our studies evaluate the value for money of public spending, nationally and locally. Our recommendations and reports on good practice help government improve public services, and our work led to audited savings of £1.15 billion in 2014.
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Introduction and summary

Objective of this memorandum

1 The International Development Committee (the Committee) is considering the resource allocation processes used by the Department for International Development (DFID).

2 This memorandum provides an overview of trends in spending on aid. It is in 7 parts. It has been designed to complement a note by the Independent Commission for Aid Impact on DFID’s approach to resource allocation.

3 This memorandum draws on, and updates, our recent work for the Committee, in particular our Short Guide to DFID,¹ and the Comptroller and Auditor General’s report on DFID’s management of the Official Development Assistance target.²

4 This memorandum uses Official Development Assistance (ODA) data published each year by DFID, most recently in December 2015.³ ODA is the internationally agreed definition of aid spending and is measured for a calendar year. We also draw on other data published by DFID for its financial year spending where these data provide more detail than is available from ODA data.⁴ All financial values in this memorandum are in cash (i.e. nominal terms), consistent with the sources.

⁴ We use values included in DFID’s Annual Report and Accounts and in its statistics Gross Public Expenditure (GPEX) on international development.
Key points

**Composition and trends in total UK ODA**

5 Total UK ODA rose by 30% to £11,424 million in 2013 as the UK spent 0.7% of gross national income (GNI) on overseas aid for the first time. In **2014 ODA grew by 3% to £11,726 million and for the second year the UK spent 0.7% of GNI on aid** (paragraph 1.1 and Figure 1).

6 In 2014, DFID ODA was £10,084 million, 86% of total UK ODA. Between 2009 and 2013, DFID’s share of total UK ODA ranged from 87% to 90%. The proportion fell to 86% in 2014 because of changes in the way the UK’s contribution to European Union (EU) development spending was allocated to UK government departments’ budgets (paragraphs 1.2 to 1.3 and Figure 1).

7 **There were 4 main sources of non-DFID ODA in 2014.** Non-DFID ODA totalled £1,642 million in 2014. Over 70% of this was accounted for by the EU (£442 million), the Foreign & Commonwealth Office (£366 million), the Department of Energy & Climate Change (£192 million) and the Conflict Pool (£180 million). Of the other elements of non-DFID ODA, Home Office ODA grew the most in 2014, to £136 million. This followed a review that increased the range of Home Office funded activities that are included as ODA. Most of the Home Office’s ODA supports refugees in the UK (paragraphs 1.4 to 1.5, and Figure 2).

8 **Core funding to multilateral organisations is growing quicker than bilateral ODA.** In 2014, multilateral ODA accounted for 42% of all ODA, up 8 percentage points from 2009. The EU and the World Bank are the main recipients of UK multilateral ODA. Together, these accounted for 58% of the total in 2014 (paragraphs 2.2 to 2.4 and Figures 3 and 4).

9 **The proportion of country-specific bilateral ODA going to low-income countries has been more than 60% in each of the 4 years to 2014.** DFID reported the benefiting country for just over £4,000 million of the UK’s 2014 bilateral ODA. Of this, 64% went to the least developed countries and other low-income countries. While DFID's country-specific bilateral ODA goes mainly to low-income-countries (68% in 2014), most non-DFID country-specific bilateral ODA goes to lower-middle-income countries (59%) or upper-middle-income countries (13%) (paragraphs 5.1 to 5.2 and 5.5 to 5.6 and Figures 9 and 10).

**Composition and trends in DFID’s spending**

10 In 2014, **over 60% of all DFID’s ODA was routed through multilateral organisations.** DFID gave multilateral organisations £4,218 million in core funding in 2014 to use in line with their mandate. DFID also gave multilateral organisations £2,031 million to undertake activities in specific countries or regions or for a specific purpose (paragraph 2.5 and Figure 5).

11 **Within DFID’s bilateral programme, humanitarian assistance has grown**

5 From April 2015, the Conflict Pool became the Conflict, Stability and Security Fund.
more quickly than development spending. Between 2011-12 and 2013-14, humanitarian assistance grew by 145% to reach £866 million. Over the same period, bilateral development spending grew by 21% to reach £4,658 million. Spending on technical cooperation was the element of DFID's bilateral development programme that grew the fastest between 2011-12 and 2013-14 – up 71% to £901 million. Development spending through partner governments was £1,168 million in 2013-14, similar to the level in 2009-10 (paragraphs 3.2 to 3.6, and Figure 6).

12 Around a third of DFID's total spending is managed by the teams responsible for its 28 priority country programmes, with most of this spending concentrated on a small number of countries. In 2014-15, five countries accounted for 41% of total country programme spending of £3,131 million, with the top 10 countries accounting for two thirds. Eight countries have been in the largest 10 programmes in each of the last 5 years (paragraphs 4.2 to 4.4 and Figure 7).

13 DFID's country programme spending is increasingly in fragile countries. In 2010-11, fragile countries accounted for 73% of DFID's country programme spending; by 2014-15, this had risen to 84%. DFID had 5 programmes in excess of £50 million in non-fragile countries in 2014-15 (paragraph 4.6 and Figure 8).

14 DFID lived within limits HM Treasury set for its operating costs for the 4 years to 2014-15. Over this period, DFID's operating costs grew by 21% in cash terms (13% in real terms) to reach £228 million, as it increased its staffing to manage its larger aid programme. DFID's overall spending grew at a faster rate (26%) to £9,777 million. As a result, the proportion of DFID's total spending used to meet its operating costs fell slightly from 2.4% in 2010-11 to 2.3% in 2014-15 (paragraphs 7.2 and 7.6, and Figures 12 and 13).
Part One

How has UK ODA grown and what is the split between DFID and other departments?

**ODA rose rapidly in 2013 but returned to lower growth in 2014**

1.1 Official Development Assistance (ODA) is reported on a calendar year basis. Between 2012 and 2013, ODA rose by 30% to £11,424 million as the UK spent 0.7% of gross national income (GNI) on overseas aid for the first time (Figure 1). In 2014, ODA grew by 3% to £11,726 million, and the UK spent 0.7% of GNI on aid for a second time based on the method of calculating GNI that was in place when 2014 departmental budgets were set in 2010.6

![Figure 1: Total UK ODA and the split between DFID's ODA and other ODA](image)


---

6 The Department reported in December 2015 that, based on the National Accounts methodology for GNI (ESA 1995 unadjusted) that was in use in 2010 when spending decisions were made, the ODA:GNI ratio for 2014 is 0.70. It also reported that, based on the latest National Accounts methodology for estimating GNI (ESA 2010), the ODA:GNI ratio for 2014 is 0.66. The equivalent figures for the 2013 ODA:GNI ratio were 0.70% and 0.67%.
Part One Trends in total UK Official Development Assistance and the Department for International Development’s expenditure

DFID accounted for 86% of total ODA in 2014

1.2 The proportion of total ODA spent by the Department for International Development (DFID) was between 87% and 90% in the 5 years to 2013 (Figure 1). In 2014, the proportion fell slightly to 86%.

1.3 The reduction in 2014 was due to changes in the way the UK’s support for the European Union’s (EU) development spending on ODA-eligible countries is split between DFID and other department. Most of the UK’s support to EU development spending is funded from the UK’s contribution to the main EU budget (see paragraph 2.4). HM Treasury decides how this contribution is split between UK departments.

Over 70% of non-DFID ODA is accounted for by the EU, FCO, DECC and the Conflict Pool

1.4 In 2014, non-DFID ODA totalled £1,642 million. Of the 17 different elements of non-DFID ODA in 2014, four accounted for 72% of the total (Figure 2).

- The sums attributed to other government departments for the EU’s development spending rose from £124 million in 2013 to £442 million in 2014. This increase reflected changes in HM Treasury’s approach to attribution (paragraph 1.3).

- The Foreign & Commonwealth Office (FCO) was the department that contributed the highest amount of ODA in 2014 after DFID, some £366 million. FCO’s ODA includes some of the funding it provides to the British Council, the cost of diplomatic activities in support of aid programmes and contributions to multilateral organisations.

- The Department of Energy & Climate Change’s (DECC) ODA fell by over half to £192 million in 2014. DECC’s ODA includes contributions to the Climate Investment Funds.8

- ODA spending by the Conflict Pool in 2014 was £180 million, including £29 million through DFID. The Conflict Pool – now the Conflict, Stability and Security Fund – is managed jointly by DFID, FCO and the Ministry of Defence.9

---

8 The Climate Investment Funds help 72 developing countries to pilot low-emissions and climate-resilient development. They are administered by multilateral development banks.
9 The Conflict Pool has been the principal mechanism by which the government has allocated joint resources in support of its commitments to prevent and tackle conflict through discretionary conflict prevention, stabilisation, and peacekeeping activities.
1.5 Of the other elements of non-DFID ODA, the fastest growing was Home Office ODA. This increased by over 300% from £33 million in 2013 to £136 million in 2014. Most Home Office ODA goes to support refugees in the UK. DFID reported the increase in Home Office ODA was as a result of a joint review carried out by DFID and the Home Office in 2014 drawing on advice from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The review has increased the range of Home Office funded activities that are included as ODA.

1.6 In February 2015, the Independent Commission for Aid Impact reported that there was no single place where stakeholders could find a clear explanation of the amounts and objectives of non-DFID ODA. It recommended that DFID improve transparency by including in its publication Statistics on International Development a summary of the main activities claimed as ODA. DFID responded by adding additional material to the December 2015 publication.
Part Two

How much ODA goes to multilateral organisations?

ODA going as core funding to multilateral organisations is growing quicker than bilateral ODA, and now accounts for 42% of all ODA

2.1 Multilateral Official Development Assistance (ODA) is the core funding the UK provides to multilateral organisations. Each multilateral organisation determines how it uses the core funding it receives from donors such as the UK, in ways which are in line with its mandate and agreed by its governing body.

2.2 Multilateral ODA accounted for 42% of total UK ODA in 2014, up 8 percentage points on the level in 2009 (Figure 3). Multilateral ODA accounted for £209 million (69%) of the £302 million growth in total UK ODA between 2013 and 2014. The growth in multilateral ODA in 2014 was driven by a £529 million increase, to £1,641 million, in the Department for International Development’s (DFID) core contributions to the International Development Association, the World Bank’s fund for the poorest countries.

Figure 3: Total UK ODA and the multilateral and bilateral split

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total ODA</th>
<th>Multilateral ODA</th>
<th>Bilateral ODA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>7,301</td>
<td>2,497</td>
<td>4,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>8,529</td>
<td>3,339</td>
<td>5,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>8,629</td>
<td>3,369</td>
<td>5,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8,802</td>
<td>3,242</td>
<td>5,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>11,424</td>
<td>4,686</td>
<td>6,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>11,726</td>
<td>4,895</td>
<td>6,832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The World Bank and the EU are the main recipients of UK multilateral ODA, accounting for 58% in 2014

2.3 The World Bank was the largest recipient of UK multilateral ODA in 2014 (Figure 4). In 2014, it received 34% (£1,667 million) of total UK multilateral ODA, up from 26% in 2013. DFID provided all of the UK ODA that went to the World Bank in 2014, with £1,641 million (98%) going to the International Development Association.

2.4 The EU received £1,168 million (24%) of UK multilateral ODA in 2014. The main elements were:

- the UK’s contribution to the EU’s development budget (£816 million in 2014) – DFID does not have any control over the size of the UK’s contribution; and

- DFID’s payments to the European Development Fund (£328 million).

NOTE
1. Other multilateral assistance in 2014 included: Strategic Climate Fund (£274 million), Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (£269 million), Clean Technology Fund (£112 million) and Private Infrastructure Investment Group (£74 million).

Over 60% of all DFID’s ODA goes through multilateral organisations

2.5 In 2014, DFID gave multilateral organisations £6,249 million of funding, some 62% of its total ODA (Figure 5). This funding comprised:

- £4,218 million in core funding (42% of DFID’s total ODA); and
- £2,031 million in bilateral funding (20% of DFID’s total ODA) – this funding was for multilateral organisations to undertake programmes in a specific country or region or for a purpose specified by DFID.

Figure 5: DFID ODA going to multilateral organisations in 2014

NOTE
1. The composition of DFID’s bilateral aid is examined in more detail at page 13.

13 In the 4 previous years the range had been from 58% to 64%.
Part Three

What type of organisations receive DFID's bilateral aid?

3.1 Bilateral aid is spending that is earmarked for a specific country, region or project. It is a mix of humanitarian assistance and development assistance. The figures the Department for International Development (DFID) publishes on the UK's total bilateral Official Development Assistance (ODA) do not show what type of organisations receive this funding. The analysis below, therefore, only covers DFID’s bilateral spending. Data on DFID’s bilateral spending is available for the period up to 2013-14.\(^4\)

**DFID’s bilateral humanitarian assistance grew much more rapidly than its development assistance in the 2 years to 2013-14**

3.2 DFID’s spending on humanitarian assistance grew by 145% in the 2 years to 2013-14, reaching £866 million (Figure 6). Over the same period, its bilateral development assistance grew by 21% to £4,658 million. DFID routes most of its humanitarian assistance through multilateral organisations and non-governmental organisations.

3.3 In March 2015, the previous International Development Committee recommended that DFID set a ceiling for spending on humanitarian assistance and set out criteria for deciding in which parts of the world it will spend large amounts on humanitarian assistance.\(^5\) In September 2015, the government responded. It said:

"The Government disagrees that a ceiling should be set for humanitarian assistance. The caseload has risen significantly, but the UK does not allocate a disproportionate percentage of its ODA to humanitarian assistance. The Government spent 10% of ODA as humanitarian assistance in 2013 in comparison to 11-15% allocated by US and Nordic donors."\(^6\)

---

\(^4\) DFID is due to publish data for 2014-15 in January 2016.
Figure 6: DFID’s bilateral aid by delivery route

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009-10</th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>2012-13</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral development assistance delivered through multilateral organisations</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>1,466</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>1,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral development assistance delivered through non-governmental organisations</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other financial development assistance to recipient governments (excludes budget support)</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical cooperation</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanitarian Assistance</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget support</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other bilateral assistance (e.g. joint donor programmes, debt relief)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,958</td>
<td>4,248</td>
<td>4,204</td>
<td>4,169</td>
<td>5,524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Audit Office presentation of Department for International Development data, GPEX tables 2013-14: December 2014, Table 1
DFID delivers its bilateral development assistance through a number of routes

3.4 More of DFID’s bilateral development assistance goes via multilateral organisations than any other route. In 2013-14, multilateral organisations received £1,437 million to run development programmes. DFID also gave multilateral organisations bilateral funding to provide humanitarian assistance (2013-14 value not known).

3.5 The 2 routes for delivering bilateral development assistance that grew quickest between 2011-12 and 2013-14 were:

- technical cooperation, which reached £901 million in 2013-14 (up 71% in 2 years) – technical cooperation is often provided by contractors;¹⁷ and
- non-governmental organisations, which reached £1,000 million in 2013-14 (up 35% in 2 years).

3.6 The total amount of bilateral development assistance DFID provided through developing countries’ governments did not change significantly between 2009-10 (£1,153 million) and 2013-14 (£1,168 million). DFID provides assistance through governments in 2 ways.

- Budget support (£567 million in 2013-14): contributions to developing countries for them to spend in support of government policy and their expenditure programmes whose long-term objective is to reduce poverty. Funds are spent using the overseas governments’ own financial management, procurement and accountability systems to increase ownership and long-term sustainability.
- Other financial aid (£601 million): funding of projects and programmes, such as sector-wide programmes, not classified as budget support.

¹⁷ Technical cooperation includes activities designed to enhance the knowledge, skills, expertise or productive capability of people in developing countries. It also covers funding of services that contribute to the design or implementation of development projects and programmes.
Part Four

What is the focus of DFID’s country programmes?

Most of DFID’s spending through its 28 priority country programmes goes to 10 countries

4.1 In 2011, the Department for International Development (DFID) decided that it would focus its bilateral aid on 28 priority countries with the aim of targeting its support where it would make the biggest difference.\textsuperscript{16} DFID reports the size of each of its country programmes for its 28 priority countries. These programmes are managed by teams based overseas (Figure 7).\textsuperscript{19}

4.2 In 2014-15, DFID spent £3,131 million through its 28 priority country programmes, up from £2,546 million in 2010-11. Throughout the period 2010-11 to 2014-15, DFID’s 28 country programmes have accounted for around a third of its total spending each year.

4.3 Most of DFID’s spending through its 28 priority country programmes is concentrated on a small number of countries. In 2014-15, 41% of the £3,131 million DFID spent through its 28 country programmes went to the top 5 countries; 66% went to the top 10 countries. These values were similar to the levels in 2011-12: the top 5 countries received 42%, and the top 10 countries 65%.

4.4 Four countries have been in DFID’s top 5 largest country programmes in each of the last 4 years: Ethiopia, Pakistan, Nigeria and Bangladesh. Four other countries have been in DFID’s 10 largest country programmes in each of the last 4 years: Afghanistan, India, Democratic Republic of Congo and Tanzania.

\textsuperscript{16} Initially, 27 countries were identified as priority countries. When South Sudan was created, it was added to this group.

\textsuperscript{19} As well as its country programmes, DFID also supports activities in its 28 priority countries through centrally managed programmes. For example, DFID teams in the UK provide funding to a number of global funds which then run programmes in developing countries. DFID has not reported how much of its spending through its centrally managed programmes benefits its priority countries.
NOTES
1. Sierra Leone (£284 million) was the second largest programme in 2014-15 as a result of DFID’s response to the Ebola outbreak. DFID had previously had a programme of around £60 million per annum in Sierra Leone.

2. As well as programmes for its 28 priority countries, DFID runs other country-specific programmes. In 2014-15, the largest of these was Syria, where DFID spent £111 million as part of its wider response to the Syria crisis.

DFID’s spending through its country programmes is increasingly focused on fragile states

4.5 From 2010-11, DFID’s priorities have included strengthening governance and security in fragile and conflict-affected countries. DFID was also responsible for managing the government’s target to spend 30% of total UK Official Development Assistance (ODA) in fragile and conflict-affected states by 2014-15.

4.6 Twenty-one of DFID’s 28 priority countries are fragile. In the 4 years to 2014-15, DFID’s spending on its country programmes in these 21 fragile states rose by 41%, from £1,866 million in 2010-11 to £2,627 million in 2014-15 (Figure 8). In 2014-15, fragile states accounted for 84% of DFID’s total spending through its 28 priority country programmes, up 11 percentage points on 2010-11. In 2014-15, DFID had 5 programmes in excess of £50 million in non-fragile states (see Figure 7).

Figure 8: DFID’s spending on its 28 priority country programmes: split between fragile and non-fragile states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fragile states</th>
<th>Non-fragile states</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£ million</td>
<td>£ million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>1,866</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>2,117</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>2,160</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>2,625</td>
<td>634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>2,627</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>£ million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>2,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>2,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>2,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>3,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>3,131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE
1. Fragile states based on an Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development listing.
Part Five

What is the income level of countries that receive UK bilateral ODA?

5.1 Most of the UK’s bilateral Official Development Assistance (ODA) is assigned to specific countries. The rest goes to projects where the Department for International Development (DFID) does not know, or does not yet know, the amounts spent by country. For example, some projects cover multiple countries or regions.

The proportion of country-specific bilateral ODA going to low-income countries has been above 60% since 2010

5.2 In 2014, 64% of the UK’s country-specific bilateral ODA went to low-income countries.\(^\text{21}\) This was below the level in 2011 (66%), but above the levels in 2012 and 2013. The level in 2014 was also higher than in 2009 and 2010, when the levels for 2009 and 2010 are based on the current income classifications of countries (Figure 9).

5.3 In 2011, the income classifications of several countries changed. The countries included 3 of DFID’s priority countries – Pakistan, Nigeria and Ghana – which graduated from low-income to lower-middle-income status. The changes resulted in a large reduction in the reported proportion of UK country-specific bilateral ODA going to low-income countries. In 2010, 75% of country-specific bilateral ODA went to countries classified as low-income at that time. Based on the current income classifications of countries, 58% of country-specific bilateral ODA went to low-income countries in 2010 (Figure 9).

5.4 In 2014, the previous International Development Committee recommended that the percentage of ODA that goes to low-income countries should rise over time, provided those countries are capable of absorbing it.\(^\text{22}\)

---

\(^\text{21}\) The World Bank defines low-income countries as those with a gross national income per capita of $1,045 or less in 2013.

Table 9: UK country-specific bilateral ODA by income level of country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-income</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
<td>75.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-middle-income</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-middle-income</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper-middle-income</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper-middle-income</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES
1. Low-income includes those countries categorised as least developed countries.
2. In 2011, the income classifications of a number of countries changed to those that are used now. We have therefore shown 2009 and 2010 values based on both the current and previous income classifications.

DFID’s bilateral ODA is focused on low-income countries, while most non-DFID bilateral ODA goes to lower-middle-income countries

5.5 In 2014, the UK gave £4,033 million in country-specific bilateral ODA. Some £2,572 million (64%) of this ODA went to low-income countries, including £2,313 million (57%) to the least developed countries (Figure 10).

5.6 In 2014, DFID gave over two-thirds of its country-specific bilateral ODA to low-income countries. In the same year, 59% of non-DFID country-specific bilateral ODA went to lower-middle-income countries; a further 13% went to upper-middle-income countries.

**Figure 10: The destination of UK country-specific bilateral ODA by income level of country, 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level of Country</th>
<th>DFID</th>
<th>Non-DFID</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Least-developed countries (all are low-income)</td>
<td>2,224</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other low-income countries</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all low-income countries</td>
<td>2,452</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-middle-income countries</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>1,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper-middle-income countries</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all countries</td>
<td>3,609</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>4,033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


23 Least developed countries are low-income countries suffering from structural impediments to sustainable development.
Part Six

How much do DFID's teams spend?

6.1 The Department for International Development (DFID) is split into 5 functional areas. Each is made up of several divisions, departments or teams (Figure 11).

6.2 Around 36% of DFID's spending in 2014-15 was managed by the largest of these 5 functional areas. Most of the Country and Regional Programmes area's spending is by DFID teams responsible for 26 of DFID's 28 priority countries (paragraph 4.1). These teams are based overseas.

6.3 DFID's Middle East, Humanitarian and Conflict Division spent £888 million in 2014-15, 9% of DFID's total spending. This division manages the programmes for 2 priority countries: the Occupied Palestinian Territories programme and the Yemen programme. It also includes DFID's programmes in Syria and the neighbouring countries affected by the Syria crisis, and DFID's Conflict, Humanitarian and Security Department.

6.4 A third of DFID's 2014-15 spending was managed by the divisions that make up Policy and Global Programmes.

- International Relations Division (11% of DFID's 2014-15 spending) oversees DFID's relationship with Europe and manages DFID's central relationships with, and core funding for, UN bodies.

- Policy Division (11%) aims to deliver DFID's priority development policies, support delivery of DFID's bilateral programme and promote better development policy internationally.

- Global Funds Division (9%) manages DFID's investment in global funds and other finance mechanisms that channel funding for specific development objectives, including health and education.

- Research and Evidence Division (3%) is responsible for commissioning research and evaluation and communicating the results.
6.5 The Economic Development Directorate leads DFID’s work on the growth of developing countries, the private sector, trade for development and multilateral effectiveness. It accounted for 21% of DFID’s spending in 2014-15, with most of this going through the International Finance Department. This department manages DFID’s central relationships with, and core funding of, the World Bank and other development banks.
24 Trends in total UK Official Development Assistance and the Department for International Development's expenditure

6.6 DFID's Corporate Performance Group supports DFID's other teams; it does not manage aid programmes. It includes teams responsible for finance, performance, human resources, security, facilities, information technology and internal audit.
Part Seven

How have DFID's operating costs changed?

DFID has lived within HM Treasury limits on its operating costs

7.1 The Department for International Development’s (DFID) operating costs cover all its internal costs, such as the costs of its staff, estate and information technology. DFID’s operating costs comprise 2 elements: its administration costs and its front-line delivery costs. DFID uses the remainder of its budget to fund external bodies, such as multilateral organisations and non-governmental organisations to deliver aid programmes.

7.2 During the 2010 Spending Review, HM Treasury set limits for DFID’s operating costs for the 4-year period to 2014-15. DFID kept within the annual limits. In 2014-15, DFID’s operating costs were £228 million, £5 million below the limit set by HM Treasury.

7.3 Over the 4 years to 2014-15, DFID’s total operating costs increased by £39 million (21%, or 13% in real terms) (Figure 12). This overall change reflected:

- a reduction of £33 million in DFID’s administration costs (27%, or 31% in real terms) – administration costs cover back-office functions, such as finance and human resources, and include the costs of DFID’s UK estate; and
- an increase of £72 million in DFID’s front-line delivery costs (111%, or 97% in real terms) – front-line delivery costs cover the cost of front-line staff, including pay, travel costs and training, as well as the estates costs of DFID’s overseas offices.

7.4 When we last looked at the composition of DFID’s administration costs in 2013, we found that half of the reductions were due to be made by one of its 5 functional areas – the Corporate Performance Group. Within the Corporate Performance Group, large reductions were being made to the budgets of a number of teams, including those responsible for human resources and IT and communications.

---

24 Front-line staff are mainly based overseas but include staff based in the UK (for example for security reasons) who manage country or regional bilateral programmes.

25 National Audit Office, Briefing to support the International Development Committee’s inquiry into the Department for International Development’s Annual Report and Accounts 2012-13, December 2013, pages 43 to 45.
The growth of DFID’s operating costs has enabled it to increase its staffing by a quarter in the last 4 years. During 2010-11, DFID employed an average of 2,372 staff. By 2014-15, this had increased by 619 to 2,991.

The proportion of DFID’s total spending going to meet its operating costs fell slightly over the 4 years to 2014-15

Over the Spending Review period, there was a small decline in the proportion of DFID’s overall spending that went on its operating costs. In 2010-11, operating costs were 2.4% of total spending; by 2014-15 they were 2.3% (Figure 13). The reduction arose as DFID’s total spending increased at a faster rate (26%) over the period 2010-11 to 2014-15 than its operating costs (21%).