

## The UK's independent public spending watchdog

Switchboard +44 (0)207 798 7000

Direct Line +44 (0)207 798

Email FOl@nao.org.uk

Reference FOI-1795

Date 27 February 2025

#### FOI-1795 RECIPIENTS OF UK AID TO UKRAINE

Thank you for your request of 3 February 2025 for information relating to the amount of UK aid that has been sent to the Ukraine. You specifically requested: "The amount of UK taxpayer money sent so far, now stands at over 12 billion pounds...For clarity, I would like a list of the organisations that this money has been sent to and a list of any individuals that have also received funds."

The FOIA provides a legal right of access to recorded information held by a public authority, but it is subject to certain exemptions that may apply. While we hold some of the information you requested, we have decided to withhold it under Section 33 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 - Public Audit functions. Details of this exemption can be found in **Annex A**.

I am sorry we haven't been able to help you with the information you requested on this occasion, but it might help to explain that the UK Parliament House of Commons Library, published a report on 12 February 2025 in relation to <u>Ukraine: UK aid and humanitarian situation 2022 to 2025</u> which outlines the UK aid provided to Ukraine so far and future commitments. Additionally, details of the Ministry of Defence's support to Ukraine are set out in its latest <u>Annual Report and Accounts 2023 – 24</u>.

Our policy is to respond to requests made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 as helpfully and promptly as possible, having regard to the principles set out in the Act. If you are not happy with this response, you can ask for an internal review within 40 working days by writing to the NAO FOI Team at FOI@nao.org.uk. If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The primary way of escalating your concerns to the Information Commissioner is at: https://ico.org.uk/foicomplaints.

Yours sincerely

**NAO FOI Team** 



#### Annex A

This annex sets out the exemption we have applied to your request.

#### Section 33 Freedom of Information Act 2000 - Public Audit

Section 33 of the FOIA provides that:

- (1) This section applies to any public authority which has functions in relation to -
- (a) the audit of the accounts of other public authorities, or
- (b) the examination of the economy, efficiency and effectiveness with which other public authorities use their resources in discharging their functions.
- (2) Information held by a public authority to which this section applies is exempt information if its disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice the exercise of any of the authority's functions in relation to any of the matters referred to in subsection (1).

### Reasons why we have applied the Section 33 exemption:

We have applied the Section 33 exemption to the information we hold in the scope of your request and which we are withholding from release, namely any information we hold relating to UK support provided to Ukraine. Any data provided to us through the course of our work, was done so in confidence, solely for the purposes of auditing and it was highlighted at the time that any information provided was highly sensitive and should not be released to anyone. Releasing this information would undermine our function as a statutory auditor.

Where an audited body raises reasonable concerns about the disclosure of their information, then we consider the implications for the future conduct of our public audit functions should we release it. The audit process is enhanced significantly by audited bodies or third-party entities responding promptly to information requests and/or volunteering information to support a constructive, timely and effective audit. The disclosure by NAO of sensitive information beyond that required for audit reporting purposes and in the face of representations against such disclosure would impair the provision of audit information in the future – it would reduce, if not cut off, critical information flows. It would incentivise DWP and other audited bodies to take a minimalist approach to NAO information requests or, in the case of bodies whose engagement is optional, serve to discourage such information sharing altogether.

Audited bodies regularly challenge the extent of the NAO's access rights and seek to place conditions around meeting information requests. Such issues consume time and resources as we work them through. The disclosure of this sensitive information would encourage audited bodies to approach our audit work in this way going forwards. An effective, efficient audit is supported through an open and constructive approach between the NAO and the audited body. As such it is critically important that we have a safe space to gather information and knowledge and can engage in a free and frank way with audited bodies. The release of this information would remove this safe space - precipitating a backdrop of increased challenge and procedure around requests for audit information. This would require the NAO to formally assert our statutory access rights more frequently and potentially seek to enforce them, leading to significant delay, escalation of issues and increased audit costs.

Section 17(3) of the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011 places a duty on the C&AG to "aim to carry out functions effectively and cost effectively". Given the above factors, we consider that our disclosure of the information requested would be at odds with this statutory requirement – it would serve to undermine the effectiveness and increase the cost of the C&AG's public audit functions. For the reasons set out above, we consider it appropriate to apply the public audit exemption available under Section 33(2) of the FOIA.

# Reasons why the public interest in maintaining the exclusion outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information in this case:

The NAO is independent of government and scrutinises public spending on behalf of Parliament. Our work serves the public interest by helping Parliament hold government to account, providing transparency on matters of public interest and driving improvement in the use of public resources. We recognise that there is a public interest in knowing that the use of public money is subject to appropriate levels of accountability and transparency, delivered through an effective audit function.

We consider that disclosure of sensitive audit evidence supplied to the NAO by departments and other public bodies in pursuit of our statutory functions and beyond that published in our reports would impair the audit process for the reasons set out above. Bodies and officials would be reluctant to engage with us, which would delay and diminish the audit process. The NAO's work puts information into the public domain and helps Parliament hold government to account. In our view, the balance of public interest rests with the NAO being able to deliver an effective and efficient public audit function and report our findings to the public. Given the negative impact that would result from disclosure, we consider it appropriate to maintain the Section 33 public audit exemption in this instance.